# On the Irreducibility of Galois Representations Associated to Elliptic Curves

Eric Larson and Dmitry Vaintrob

#### Abstract

Given an elliptic curve E over a number field K, and a prime number  $\ell$ , the  $\ell$ -torsion points define a representation  $\rho_{E,\ell}$ :  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ . It is a well-known theorem of Serre that this representation is surjective — and in particular irreducible — for all but finitely many  $\ell$ . In this paper, we prove a theorem regarding the irreducibility (over the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ ) of this representation. It follows from our theorem that if K does not contain the class field of an imaginary quadratic field F, then for primes  $\ell$  more than a bound depending only on the field K, the representation  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is irreducible.

From this, we can deduce a generalization of the well-known theorem of Mazur that the degree of an isogeny  $E \to E'$  of elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of prime degree is bounded by an absolute constant. Namely, we prove that the degrees of prime degree isogenies of elliptic curves defined over K are bounded by a constant depending on Kif and only if K does not contain the class field of an imaginary quadratic field F, i.e. if and only if there is no CM curve defined over K whose CM field is contained in K.

#### 1 Introduction

Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K, and for each prime number  $\ell$ , let

$$\rho_{E,\ell}: G = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/K) \to \operatorname{GL}(E[\ell]) \simeq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$$

be the associated Galois representation on  $\ell$ -torsion points. These representations reflect many geometric properties of E, such as its primes of bad reduction and the number of points of E over finite fields, as well as possible isogenies of E. In particular, there exists an isogeny  $E \to E'$  of prime degree  $\ell$  if and only if  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ . In particular, if  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is irreducible (over the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ ), there can be no isogenies  $E \to E'$  of prime degree  $\ell$ . In this paper, we study the reducibility of the representations  $\rho_{E,\ell}$ .

**Definition 1.** For the remainder of the paper, we say that the representation  $\rho : G \to GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$  is *reducible* if it is reducible over the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ .

**Definition 2.** The *semi-simplification*  $\tilde{\rho}$  of a representation  $\rho$  is defined to be the direct sum of the Jordan-Holder quotients of  $\rho$ .

Note that When  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible, then its semi-simplification  $\tilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}$  is abelian. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let K be a number field. Then, there exists an effectively computable constant  $C_K$  depending only on K such that for any prime number  $\ell > C_K$  and any elliptic curve E such that the  $\ell$ -torsion representation  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible, there exists an elliptic curve E' over K with CM defined over K such that

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}^{12}\simeq \rho_{E',\ell}^{12}$$

*Remark* 1. If we start with the assumption that E has a degree  $\ell^k$  cyclic isogeny then the same analysis should give a bound on k, even when p = 2 or 3.

Remark 2. If E = E' is CM curve with CM defined over K, then  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is abelian, and hence isomorphic to its own semi-simplification.

**Corollary 1.** The degrees of isogenies of elliptic curves over K are bounded if and only if K does not contain the class field of an imaginary quadratic field F.

When  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible, then its semi-simplification  $\tilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}$  is abelian; in particular it is diagonalizable over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$  as

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{E,\ell} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \psi_1 & 0\\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{array}\right)$$

where

$$\psi_i:\mathbb{I}\to G\to \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*\simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathfrak{p}_\ell$$

are the two "eigencharacters" of  $\tilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}$  is a fixed prime ideal of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  lying over  $\ell$ , and  $\mathbb{I}$  is the group if idèles of K (which surjects onto G by class field theory, since  $\tilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is abelian). By the Weil pairing, the two characters satisfy  $\psi_1\psi_2 = \operatorname{cyc}_{\ell}$ , the cyclotomic character defined by the extension  $K[\zeta_{\ell}]$ .

To prove theorem 1, we study these eigencharacters: When  $\ell$  is sufficiently large (more than some constant depending only on K), we use algebraic geometry to patch together local information about these characters, and show that up to a twist by a 12th root of unity, these eigencharacters have a particularly simple form. Namely, for some imaginary quadratic subfield  $F \subset K$ , the characters  $\psi_i$  are equal to  $\operatorname{Nm}_F^K$  (times a 12th root of unity) and its conjugate. In particular, the norm map  $\operatorname{Cl}(K) \to \operatorname{Cl}(F)$  is zero, and hence Kcontains the Hilbert class field of F.

### 2 Action of Inertia Groups

In this section, we study the ramification of the eigencharacters  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ , and explicitly determine  $\psi_i^{12}$  on all inertia subgroups in terms of a certain algebraic character  $\theta^S$ . In the

following, we will sometimes drop the subscript from  $\psi_i$  and write just  $\psi : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  to denote either  $\psi_1$  or  $\psi_2$ .

If  $v \in \Sigma_K \setminus \Sigma_E$  is a place of good reduction for E, and  $\pi_v$  is a uniformizer at v, then we have a well-defined value for  $\psi(\pi_v)$  (as  $\rho_\ell$  is unramified), and this means that the  $\psi_i(\pi_v)$  are roots of the frobenius polynomial, i.e.

$$P_v(\psi_i(\pi_v)) \equiv 0 \mod \ell$$
 where  $P_v(x) = x^2 - \operatorname{Tr}_E(v)x + \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{O}}^K v$ 

is a polynomial with integer coefficients and nonpositive discriminant.

In fact, by slightly re-defining the frobenius polynomial and twisting  $\psi(\pi_v)$  by 12<sup>th</sup> roots of unity, we can make sense of this for primes v of bad reduction as well. Namely, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $v \in \Sigma_K \setminus \Sigma_\ell$  be any prime not dividing  $\ell$ . Then  $\psi^{12}$  is unramified at v and there exists a polynomial with integer coefficients

$$P_v = x^2 + a_v x + \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{O}}^K(v) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

such that

- 1. If v has potentially good reduction, then  $P_v$  has nonpositive discriminant.
- 2. If v has potentially multiplicative reduction, then  $P_v = (x \pm 1)(x \pm \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{O}}^K(v))$ .
- 3. There exists  $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$  a 12th root of unity such that  $P_v(\zeta \psi_i(f_v)) \equiv 0 \mod \ell$ .

Remark 3. Note that in the above, either  $a_v = \pm(\text{Nm}(v) + 1)$  or  $P_v$  has nonpositive discriminant and  $a_v \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Nm}(v)}$ , so there are only finitely many possibilities for  $P_v$  as  $(E, \ell)$  varies over all curves for which  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible.

*Proof.* Most of this proof is done in the paper [3].

First suppose v has potentially multiplicative reduction. After possibly taking a quadratic extension  $L_w$  of  $K_v$ , we have (as a *w*-adic variety) E isomorphic to a Tate curve  $\overline{L}_w^*/\alpha^{\mathbb{Z}}$  where  $\alpha$  is some element of nonzero valuation. In particular, the image of the valuation  $w : E[\ell] \to \mathbb{Q}/w(\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  with trivial  $G_v$ -action. As all semisimplifications are isomorphic, either  $\psi_1$  or  $\psi_2$  becomes trivial after taking a quadratic extension, and hence has values in  $\pm 1$ . The other character then has to evaluate on (any choice of) the uniformizer  $\pi_v$  to  $\pm \operatorname{cyc}_\ell(\pi_v) = \pm \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}(v)$  as  $v \nmid \ell$ . This proves the statement of the lemma in the potentially multiplicative case, with  $\zeta = \pm 1$ . (This implies that  $\psi_i^2$ , hence also  $\psi_i^{12}$  are unramified at v.)

Now suppose v has potentially good reduction. Then by [3] the image of inertia  $I_v \subset G$ under  $\rho_\ell$  is either a cyclic group  $\Phi$  of order 2, 3, 4, or 6, or a nonabelian group of order 8, 12 or 24 (and indeed the image under  $\rho_\ell$  must be isomorphic for all primes  $\ell \geq 5, \ell \neq p_v$ .) The last three cases are impossible when  $\ell \geq 5$  since any nonabelian subgroup of the borel group  $B \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  (the unipotent matrices). Hence the image  $\Phi$  must be abelian and a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, there exists a (non-unique) totally ramified local extension  $L_w$  of  $K_v$  whose galois group is  $\Phi$  and over which  $\rho_1$  is unramified (this is true by local class field theory, since  $\operatorname{Gal}^{\operatorname{ab}}(\overline{K_v}/K_v) = K_v^* \cong \mathcal{O}_v^* \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  noncanonically, and so we can extend a subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_v^*$  to a subgroup of  $K^*$  with the same quotient.) The prime w has good reduction for E and  $\operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w}(w) = v$ . Since  $L_w/K_v$  has degree dividing 12, we see that  $\psi_i^{12}$  is unramified outside of  $\ell$ .

**Definition 3.** Define  $P_{v^{12}}$  to be the quadratic polynomial whose roots are the 12th powers of the roots of  $P_v$ .

Remark 4. Note that  $P_{v^{12}}$  is equal mod  $\ell$  to the characteristic polynomial of  $\psi(v)^{12}$  (the root of unity gets absorbed in the twelfth power).

The above lemma characterized the actions of the  $\psi_i$  on the inertia groups  $G_v$  for  $v \nmid \ell$ . We now deal with the case  $v \mid \ell$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{I}$  be the group of units. Suppose  $v \in \Sigma_{\ell}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of embeddings  $\sigma : K \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and for a subset  $S \subset \Gamma$  define

$$\theta^S = \prod_{\sigma \in S} \sigma : K^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*,$$

a map of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We will often abuse notation, speaking of  $\theta^S$  both as a map of group schemes and as the corresponding map on their  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points, and it should be clear from context which is meant. Note that  $\theta$  (both as a scheme and on points) factors through the galois closure  $(K^{\text{gal}})^* \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ .

For the remainder of the paper, we fix an ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  extending  $(\ell) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . We identify  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$  with the completion of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}$ . Now given a map over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of algebraic groups  $\theta: K^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , we can give a map

$$\theta_{\ell}: \prod_{v|\ell} K_v \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$$

defined by the composition

$$\prod_{v|\ell} K_v^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} (K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^* \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes \mathrm{id}} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\ell} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}})_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}})_{\mathfrak{p}_\ell}^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^*$$

For primes  $v \mid \ell$ , we define  $\theta_v : K_v^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^*$  to be the composition of  $\theta_\ell$  with  $K_v^* \hookrightarrow \prod_{v \mid \ell} K_v^*$ .

**Lemma 2.** There is a subset  $S \subset \Gamma$  such that the restriction  $\psi|_U = (\theta_\ell^S \cdot \epsilon)^{-1}$  where  $\epsilon$  takes values in  $\mu_{12}$ .

*Proof.* The case where E is semistable is done in [2], lemma 4 of section 4.2 (in which case we can take  $\epsilon$  to be the trivial character). Here, we essentially reduce to this case.

Since we have fixed a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  extending  $(\ell) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that S is canonically identified with  $\bigcup_{v|\ell} \Gamma_v$ , where  $\Gamma_v$  is the set of embeddings  $K_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ . Thus, it suffices to show that for all  $v \mid \ell$ , there is some subset  $S_v \subset \Gamma_v$  and some character  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^* \to \mu_{12}$ such that

$$\psi(u) = (\theta_v^{S_v}(u) \cdot \epsilon(u))^{-1} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^*.$$

To do this, let  $p \neq \ell$  be an odd prime number, and let  $L_w$  be the extension of local fields obtained by adjoining the *p*-torsion points of *E* to  $K_v$ . Then, from [3], we know that *E* is semistable over  $L_w$ . Therefore, using the result for the case where *E* is semistable and taking norms, we have that for some subset  $S_w \subset \Gamma_w$ ,

$$\psi(u) = (\theta_w^{S_w})^{-1}(u) \qquad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{O}_{L_w}^*.$$

Now, we claim that the character  $\theta_w^{S_w}$  factors through taking norm down to  $K_v$ . To see this, it suffices to examine the construction given in [2] of the the set  $S_w$  in the case where E is semistable: Whether we take  $f \in S_w$  is determined by the reduction type of E at w, and if the reduction type is supersingular, by how  $f: L_w \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  embedds the unique degree 2 subfield (i.e. the unique subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ ) of the residue field of  $L_w$  into the residue field of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ . By [2], proposition 12d of section 1.11, if E has supersingular reduction, then the residue field of  $K_v$  must be of even degree, since  $\tilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}$  is abelian. Therefore, whether we take  $f \in S_w$  depends only the restriction of f to  $K_v$ . Thus, the character  $\theta^{S_w}$  factors through taking norm down to  $K_v$ . In other words, for some subset  $S_v \subset \Gamma_v$ , we have

$$\psi(u) = (\theta_v^{S_v})^{-1}(u) \qquad \text{for } u \in \operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w} \mathcal{O}_{L_w}^*.$$

Now, we can finish the proof of this lemma using local class field theory. By the norm limitation theorem, we have

$$\operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w} \mathcal{O}_{L_w}^* = \operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w^{ab}} \mathcal{O}_{L_w^{ab}}^*$$

where  $L_w^{ab}$  is the abelianization of  $L_w$ , viewed as an extension of  $K_v$ . This gives

$$\left[\mathcal{O}_{K_v}^*: \operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w} \mathcal{O}_{K_w}^*\right] = \left[\mathcal{O}_{K_v}^*: \operatorname{Nm}_{K_v}^{L_w^{ab}} \mathcal{O}_{L_w^{ab}}^*\right] = e\left(L_w^{ab}/K_v\right) \le \left|I_v^{ab}\right|$$

where  $I_v^{ab}$  is the abelianization of the inertia subgroup  $I_v \subset \operatorname{Gal}(L_w/K_v)$  at v. By the explicit description of the possible inertia subgroups  $I_v$  of p-division fields, it follows that  $|I_v^{ab}|$  divides 12, and hence that  $\psi(u)$  equals  $(\theta_v^{S_v})^{-1}(u)$  on an index 12 subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}^*$ . Therefore, taking their quotient gives a character  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^* \to \mu_{12}$ , completing the proof.  $\Box$ 

Remark 1. When the image of  $\rho_{E,\ell^k}$  is contained in a Borel subgroup, it follows from arguments in [2] that all primes  $v \mid \ell$  have either potentially multiplicative or potentially good and non-supersingular reduction. Using this, when  $\rho_{E,\ell^k}$  is contained in a Borel subgroup, we can extend the congruence of characters in the above lemma to hold modulo  $\ell^k$  as opposed to just modulo  $\ell$ . **Definition 4.** We will say that the set  $S \subset \Gamma$  (and the corresponding algebraic character  $\theta: K^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) are associated to the prime  $\ell$  and the elliptic curve E.

**Definition 5.** For an idèle x, we define  $x_{\ell}$  and  $x_{\hat{\ell}}$  to be the idèles whose components at v are given by

$$(x_{\ell})_{v} = \begin{cases} x_{v} & \text{if } v \mid \ell \\ 1 & \text{if } v \nmid \ell \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (x_{\widehat{\ell}})_{v} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \mid \ell \\ x_{v} & \text{if } v \nmid \ell \end{cases}$$

We also use this notation when  $x \in K^*$  (consider x as a principal idèle).

**Corollary 1.** Let  $x \in K^*$  be relatively prime to  $\ell$ . Then, for some character  $\epsilon$  which takes values in  $\mu_{12}$ , we have

$$\psi(x_{\widehat{\ell}}) \equiv \theta^S(x) \cdot \epsilon(x) \mod \mathfrak{p}_\ell$$

We will show now that for  $\ell$  sufficiently large, we must have in fact

$$\theta^{S} \in \left\{1, \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K}, \operatorname{Nm}_{F}^{K}, \overline{\operatorname{Nm}_{F}^{K}}\right\}$$

where F is some imaginary quadratic subfield whose class field is contained in K.

#### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

For the rest of this section, we fix K and one of the  $2^n$  possible subsets  $S \subset \Gamma(K)$ . Here we will give ineffective bounds; we will make these arguments effective in an upcoming version of this paper.

**Definition 6.** We adopt the notation " $\ell$  sufficiently large" to mean " $\ell$  bounded by a constant depending only on K."

**Lemma 3.** For  $\ell$  sufficiently large, the image  $\theta^S(K)^{12} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is contained in a quadratic subfield  $F \subset K$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\Theta = (\theta^S)^{12}$ . Suppose the image of  $\Theta$  is not contained in a single quadratic field. Then since  $K^*$  is an irreducible variety, there must be an element  $x \in K^*$  such that  $\Theta(x)$  is not contained in any imaginary quadratic field.

By the Chebotarev density theorem, we know that generators of prime ideals are Zariski dense in  $K^*$ . Since  $\Theta$  is algebraic, we can assume that x generates a prime ideal v. But by the Hasse bound,  $\psi(x_{\hat{\ell}})^{12} = \psi(v)^{12}$  can assume only finitely many possible values as E ranges over all elliptic curves, and all of these values lie in some imaginary quadratic field. Also, by corollary 1, it follows that  $\Theta(x)$  is congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}$  to  $\psi(x_{\hat{\ell}})^{12}$ . Thus,  $\ell$  must divide the norm of their difference, which is nonzero. For  $\ell$  sufficiently large this is impossible, which concludes the proof. **Corollary 2.** For  $\ell$  as above, we must either have  $\theta^S = 1$ ,  $\theta^S = \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$ , or  $\theta^S = \operatorname{Nm}_F^K$  or its conjugate for some imaginary quadratic subfield  $F \subset K$ .

Proof. Since that the  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , any element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ which fixes  $(\theta^S)^{12}$  must fix the set S (under the evident action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\Gamma$ ). Thus, the set S must be fixed by the action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ , implying the corollary.  $\Box$ 

In particular, if K has no imaginary quadratic subfields and  $\ell$  is sufficiently large, we must have  $\theta^S \in \{\operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K, 1\}$ . We will show that this is also the case if K does not contain the class field of any imaginary quadratic subfield.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $F \subset K$  is an imaginary quadratic subfield. Then for sufficiently large  $\ell$ , we can have  $\theta^S = \operatorname{Nm}_F^K$  only if the Hilbert class field  $H_F \subset K$ .

Proof. Assume to the contrary that  $H_F$  is not contained in K. Then the composite  $H_F \cdot K$  is a nontrivial extension of K. Therefore, by the Chebotarev density theorem, we can find a prime ideal  $v \in K$  which does not split totally in the composite  $H_F \cdot K$ . Moreover, we can take this prime to be of degree 1, not lie over  $\ell$ , and unramified in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . (Since the set of primes which do not have degree 1, which lie over  $\ell$ , or which are ramified in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  has density zero.)

Now, the ideal  $v^{h_K} = (x)$  is principal. Therefore, for any choice of Frobenius element  $f_v$  at v, corollary 1 implies

$$\psi(f_v^{h_K})^{12} \equiv (\operatorname{Nm}_F^K x)^{12} \mod \mathfrak{p}_\ell$$

Hence  $\ell$  divides the norm of their difference. By the Hasse bound and lemma 1, there are only finitely many possibilities for the left-hand side as E ranges over all elliptic curves. So if  $\ell$  is sufficiently large, we have

$$\psi(f_v)^{12h_K} = \psi(f_v^{h_K})^{12} = (\operatorname{Nm}_F^K x)^{12}$$

By lemma 1, we can choose the Frobenius element  $f_v$  so that  $\psi(f_v)$  belongs to some quadratic field F'. Since v was an unramified prime of degree 1, no power of its norm down to F can be generated by an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, we conclude that the right-hand side lies in F but not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since the left-hand side lies in the quadratic field F', it follows that F = F'. Therefore, we have an equality of ideals of F:

$$(\psi(f_v))^{12h_K} = (\operatorname{Nm}_F^K x)^{12} = (\operatorname{Nm}_F^K v)^{12h_K}$$

Because the group of fractional ideals is torsion-free, this implies

$$(\psi(f_v)) = \operatorname{Nm}_F^K \iota$$

By assumption, v did not totally split in the composite  $H_F \cdot K$  and is of degree 1; hence,  $\operatorname{Nm}_F^K v$  does not totally split in  $H_F$ , and is therefore a non-principal ideal of F. However, the left-hand side is a principal ideal, which is a contradiction.

Thus unless K contains the Hilbert class field of an imaginary quadratic subfield, the map  $\theta^S$  must be either 1 or  $\operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$ . Suppose  $\theta^S \in \{1, \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\}$ . Recall that we've chosen  $\psi = \psi_i$  for i = 1 or 2. Thus in fact we have two algebraic maps,  $\theta^{S_1}, \theta^{S_2} : K^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . By the Weil pairing, we have

$$\psi_1\psi_2|_U = \operatorname{cyc}_\ell = (\operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K)_\ell \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{\theta^{S_1}, \theta^{S_2}\} = \{1, \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\}$$

for  $\ell$  sufficiently large. Now we prove the following lemma, as a straightforward application of the result of Merel, [1].

**Lemma 5.** If  $\ell$  is sufficiently large, we cannot have  $\{\theta^{S_1}, \theta^{S_2}\} = \{1, \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{O}}^K\}$ .

Proof. Assume  $\{\theta^{S_1}, \theta^{S_2}\} = \{1, \operatorname{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\}$ . Fix  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  so that  $\theta^{S_i} = 1$ . This means that  $\psi_i|_U = \epsilon$ , for some character  $\epsilon : U \to \mu_{12}$ . The kernel ker  $\epsilon \subset U \subset \mathbb{I}/K^*$  defines an extension M of K of degree dividing  $12h_K$ . By construction, the galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{ab}}/M)$ is killed by  $\epsilon$ , so when we consider E as a curve over M, the character  $\psi_i$  is trivial. Thus, we have a galois-invariant subspace  $V \subset E[\ell]$  such that either V is pointwise fixed by  $G_M = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/M)$ , or the quotient  $E[\ell]/V$  is pointwise fixed by  $G_M$ . In the first case, Ehas an  $\ell$ -torsion point defined over M, and in the second case, the isogenous curve E/Vhas an  $\ell$ -torsion point defined over M. Thus, by Merel's theorem [1], we have

$$\ell \le n_M^{3n_M^2} \le (12n_K h_K)^{432n_K^2 h_K^2}$$

where  $n_M \leq 12n_K h_K$  is the degree of M. This completes the proof of this lemma.

**Theorem 1.** Let K be a number field. Then, there exists an effectively computable constant  $C_K$  depending only on K such that for any prime number  $\ell > C_K$  and any elliptic curve E such that the  $\ell$ -torsion representation  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is reducible, there exists an elliptic curve E' over K with CM defined over K such that

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{E,\ell}^{12}\simeq \rho_{E',\ell}^{12}$$

*Proof.* By corollary 2, lemma 4, and lemma 5, for  $\ell$  sufficiently large, we have

$$\left\{\theta^{S_1}, \theta^{S_2}\right\} = \left\{\operatorname{Nm}_F^K, \overline{\operatorname{Nm}_F^K}\right\}$$

for some imaginary quadratic field F such that K contains the Hilbert class field of F. We let E' be the CM curve defined by  $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_F$ . By corollary 1, the 12th powers of the eigencharacters of E and E' agree on frobenius elements for prime ideals which are principal, and hence by Chebotarev density agree on  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/H_K)$ . Now, suppose that their 12th powers do not agree on the frobenius element for a prime ideal w. Then, since they agree on  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/H_K)$ , it follows that they do not agree for the frobenius element at any other prime ideal v in the same ideal class as w. Choosing v to be the smallest prime ideal not lying over  $\ell$  which represents the given ideal class, they do not agree for the frobenius element of a prime v of degree 1 not lying over  $\ell$  and not ramified in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , whose norm is bounded independent of E. Then, the same argument as in lemma 4 implies that  $(\psi(f_v)) = \operatorname{Nm}_F^K v$ , which is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

## References

- [1] Merel. Bournes pour la Torsion des Courbes Elliptiques sur les Corps de Nombres. Inventionnes Mathematicae Volume 124, pp. 437–449.
- [2] Serre. Propriétés Galoisiennes des Points d'Ordre Fini des Courbes Elliptiques. Inventionnes Mathematicae Volume 15, pp. 259–331.
- [3] Serre and Tate. Good Reduction of Abelian Varieties. *The Annals of Mathematics* Volume 88, pp. 492–517.