THE JOY OF XEX

Revised & Updated for the 21st Century Lazy Man's Cython Have Your Cake and Eat It Too Dan Gindikin and Peter Yianilos 6/13/2008



What Is Pex?

Preprocessor and build system for Cython



What We Wanted

A language that gets down to the iron, runs at C speeds, and has no surprises in generated assembly, but at the same time guides you along to a clear, succinct and correct expression of complicated systems and algorithms.





1. Ineffable quality



1. Ineffable quality

write complicated algorithm



1. Ineffable quality

write complicated algorithm

either it is right the first time



1. Ineffable quality

write complicated algorithm

• either it is right the first time

or it is very close, and easy to diagnose and fix



1. Ineffable quality

write complicated algorithm

either it is right the first time

or it is very close, and easy to diagnose and fix

2.Look at program assembly execution trace



1. Ineffable quality

write complicated algorithm

either it is right the first time

or it is very close, and easy to diagnose and fix

2.Look at program assembly execution trace

most instructions have to do with essence of problem





Stay easy, friendly, interpreter-like



Stay easy, friendly, interpreter-like

 Yet use all the cycles the computer has to offer to solve the problem, not for overhead



- Stay easy, friendly, interpreter-like
- Yet use all the cycles the computer has to offer to solve the problem, not for overhead
- Feel this has not been addressed, and not for any good technical reason



Discarded Candidates



Discarded Candidates

No C, didn't feel right in the 21st century



Discarded Candidates

No C, didn't feel right in the 21st century
No C++, didn't think we were smart enough





Python, gets you everything except performance



Python, gets you everything except performance

 huge deal, wasn't clear there could be a language that would corral you in the right direction



Python, gets you everything except performance

 huge deal, wasn't clear there could be a language that would corral you in the right direction

Pyrex, epsilon away, most of the heavy lifting done



Python, gets you everything except performance

- huge deal, wasn't clear there could be a language that would corral you in the right direction
- Pyrex, epsilon away, most of the heavy lifting done
 - fast attribute access, exception handling, resource management - all the essentials for large system





No gear shifting to C



- No gear shifting to C
- Stay Pythonic, see how far you can push it without sacrificing performance



- No gear shifting to C
- Stay Pythonic, see how far you can push it without sacrificing performance
- Naturally leads to a few desirables





Already have linear algebra packages, but...



Already have linear algebra packages, but...

If Python API, Python overhead makes using small matrices infeasible



- Already have linear algebra packages, but...
- If Python API, Python overhead makes using small matrices infeasible
- May not have what you want



- Already have linear algebra packages, but...
- If Python API, Python overhead makes using small matrices infeasible
- May not have what you want
- Limits and contorts your thinking



- Already have linear algebra packages, but...
- If Python API, Python overhead makes using small matrices infeasible
- May not have what you want
- Limits and contorts your thinking
 - you jump through hoops to vectorize



- Already have linear algebra packages, but...
- If Python API, Python overhead makes using small matrices infeasible
- May not have what you want
- Limits and contorts your thinking
 - you jump through hoops to vectorize
 - a priori, you only consider things that are vectorizable



Fast Numerics Basic



Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from 0<=i<n: arr[i] = i



Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from 0<=i<n: arr[i] = iPython speed


Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from 0<=i<n: arr[i] = iPython speed

In Pex cdef int i cdef ndarray**<int, n>** arr



Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from $0 \le i \le n$: arr[i] = iPython speed

In Pex cdef int i cdef ndarray**<int, n>** arr for i from 0<=i<n: arr**{i}** = i



Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from $0 \le i \le n$: arr[i] = iPython speed

In Pex cdef int i cdef ndarray<int, n> arr for i from 0<=i<n: arr{i} = i C speed, as if arr is int*



Fast Numerics Basic In Pyrex cdef int i arr=numpy.zeros(n) for i from $0 \le i \le n$: arr[i] = iPython speed

In Pex cdef int i cdef ndarray<int, n> arr for i from $0 \le i \le n$: $arr{i} = i$ C speed, as if arr is int* Easily >100x faster PNYLAB LLC

Matrix Multiply rex cdef void matmult(ndarray r, ndarray A, ndarray B): cdef int i,j,k for i from $0 \le A$.dimensions[0]: for j from $0 \le 0 \le 0.01$ for k from $0 \le k \le A$.dimensions[1]: r[i,i]=r[i,i]+A[i,k]*B[k,i]



Matrix Multiply Pex

cdef void matmult(ndarray<double 2d> r, ndarray<double 2d> A, ndarray<double 2d> B): cdef int i,j,k for i from $0 \le A$.dimensions[0]: for j from $0 \le 0 \le 0.01$ for k from $0 \le k \le A$.dimensions[1]: $r{i,i}=r{i,i}+A{i,k}*B{k,i}$



Matrix Multiply Performance



Matrix Multiply Performance



Matrix Multiply Performance



The Gauss-Jordan Sweep

$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{SWP}[\mathbf{k}]\mathbf{G}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_{kk} &= -1/g_{kk} \\ h_{jk} &= h_{kj} = g_{jk}/g_{kk}, \quad j \neq k \\ h_{jl} &= h_{lj} = g_{jl} - g_{jk}g_{kl}/g_{kk}, \quad j \neq k \text{ and } l \neq k \end{aligned}$

SWP[1,2,...,p]G = $\begin{bmatrix} -G_{11}^{-1} & G_{11}^{-1}G_{12} \\ G_{21}G_{11}^{-1} & G_{22} - G_{21}G_{11}^{-1}G_{12} \end{bmatrix}.$



| import numpy | import numpy |
|---|--|
| <pre>def sweep(x):</pre> | <pre>def sweep(ndarray<double 2d=""> x):</double></pre> |
| n = x.shape[0] | <pre>cdef int n,l,u,i,j,k cdef double pivot_product</pre> |
| l = 0 u = n-1 | n = x.dimensions[0] l = 0 u = n-1 |
| <pre>g_k=numpy.zeros(n, 'double')</pre> | cdef ndarray <double,n> g_k</double,n> |
| pivot_product = 1.0 | pivot_product = 1.0 |
| <pre>for k in range(l,u+1): if x[k,k] == 0.0: pivot_product = 0.0 break pivot_product *= x[k,k] x[k,k] = -1.0 / x[k,k] for j in range(n): if j == k: continue g_k[j] = x[j,k] x[j,k] = x[k,j] = -x[k,k] * g_k[j] for i in range(n): if i == k: continue for j in range(i+1): if j == k: continue for j in range(i+1): if j == k: continue rotinue</pre> | <pre>for k from l <= k <= u: if x{k,k} == 0.0: pivot_product = 0.0 break pivot_product *= x{k,k} x{k,k} = -1.0 / x{k,k} for j from 0 <= j < n: if j == k: continue g_k{j} = x{j,k} x{j,k} = x{k,j} = -x{k,k} * g_k{j} for i from 0 <= i < n: if i == k: continue for j from 0 <= j <= i: if j == k: continue for j from 0 <= j <= i: if j == k: continue v(i) = -x{k,k} * g_k{j}</pre> |
| x[i,j] = x[j,i] | $x{i,j} = x{j,i}$ |
| return pivot_product | return pivot_product |

Sweep Algorithm Performance



Sweep Algorithm Performance

Sweep Algorithm Performance

You write file.px cdef class item: cdef double x,y,z cdef meth(me): pass cdef func():pass

You write file.px cdef class item: cdef double x,y,z cdef meth(me): pass cdef func():pass

Pex produces file.pxd cdef class item: cdef double x,y,z cdef meth(me) cdef func()

You write file.px cdef class item: cdef double x,y,z cdef meth(me): pass cdef func():pass

Pex produces file.pxd cdef class item: cdef double x,y,z cdef meth(me) cdef func() And file.pyx <... implementation ...> PNYLAB LLC

main.px

%pimport mod

main.px %pimport mod mod.px

%pimport submod

main.px %pimport mod mod.px %pimport submod submod.px

pass

main.px %pimport mod mod.px %pimport submod submod.px

pass

In the shell
 \$ pex main.px

main.px %pimport mod mod.px %pimport submod submod.px

pass

- In the shell
 - \$ pex main.px
- Or in Python
 - main=pex.pimport('main')

main.px %pimport mod mod.px %pimport submod submod.px pass

- In the shell
 - \$ pex main.px
- Or in Python
 - main=pex.pimport('main')
- submod gets compiled, then mod, then main

main.px %pimport mod mod.px %pimport submod submod.px pass

- In the shell
 - \$ pex main.px
- Or in Python
 - main=pex.pimport('main')
- submod gets compiled, then mod, then main
- Feels interpreted

Automatically pickleable cdef classes

Automatically pickleable cdef classes

• They are!

- Pex generates the magic <u>reduce</u> and <u>setstate</u> methods
- Caveat: can not have C pointer or struct attributes

Discovered We Wanted More

Fast Slices

cdef ndarray<double,(n,m,k)> arr arr{:,1:7,:-4}

Fast Slices

cdef ndarray<double,(n,m,k)> arr arr{:,1:7,:-4} same as arr[:,1:7,:-4]

but does not plumb through python runtime, just quick creation of an ndarray header (in C code)

Pickling

Pickling write cdef class item: pass pickle.dump(item(),open('file','w'))

Pickling write cdef class item: pass pickle.dump(item(),open('file','w')) read x = pickle.load(open('file'))

Faster Serialization

Pickling write cdef class item: pass pickle.dump(item(),open('file','w')) read x = pickle.load(open('file'))Goes through Python, slow





write

cdef item x = item()

x._fastdump_(open('file','w'))



write
 cdef item x = item()
 x._fastdump_(open('file','w'))
read
 x = pex_create_uninitialized(item)

x._fastload_(open('file'))



write cdef item x = item()x._fastdump_(open('file','w')) read $x = pex_create_uninitialized(item)$ x._fastload_(open('file')) >12x faster than pickling, as fast as writing a C struct





Can't dump a Python list



Can't dump a Python list

Can't dump an ndarray of Python object



- Can't dump a Python list
- Can't dump an ndarray of Python object
- All attributes must be either primitive C types (int, double, etc), or decorated ndarrays



- Can't dump a Python list
- Can't dump an ndarray of Python object
- All attributes must be either primitive C types (int, double, etc), or decorated ndarrays
- This is just for the leafs of your object hierarchy



- Can't dump a Python list
- Can't dump an ndarray of Python object
- All attributes must be either primitive C types (int, double, etc), or decorated ndarrays
- This is just for the leafs of your object hierarchy
- Still, can read/write mammoth data at C speed



Less Vigorous Coredump (1)



Less Vigorous Coredump (1)

Have main.px cdef poof(): cdef int *p=NULL p[0] def func(): poof() def main(): func()



Less Vigorous Coredump (1)

Have main.px cdef poof(): cdef int *p=NULL p[0] def func(): poof() def main(): func()

Guess what happens \$ pex main.px



Less Vigorous Coredump (2)

\$ pex main.px

| BEG BACKTRACE | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Containing Executable File | Instruction | Addr Closest Symbol |
| ./main.so | 0x3ACA | pyx_pf_201_func |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x43991 | PyCFunction_Call |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x20637 | PyObject_Call |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x721B0 | PyEval_CallObjectWithKeywords |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x205FE | PyObject_CallObject |
| ./main.so | 0x37C3 | pyx_pf_201_main |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x780A6 | PyEval_EvalCodeEx |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x7836D | PyEval_EvalCode |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x92952 | PyRun_SimpleFileExFlags |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x939A4 | PyRun AnyFileExFlags |
| /usr/lib/libpython2.3.so.1.0 | 0x9869E | Py_Main |
| python | 0x5B2 | main |
| /lib/tls/libc.so.6 | 0x14DE3 | libc_start_main |
| python | 0x501 | (null) [START] |







file main.px

cdef ndarray<int,n> arr arr{n+1}



file main.px

cdef ndarray<int,n> arr arr{n+1}

run with bounds checking (about 20 times slower)



file main.px

cdef ndarray<int,n> arr arr{n+1} run with bounds checking (about 20 times slower) \$ pex -b main.px



file main.px

cdef ndarray<int,n> arr arr{n+1}

run with bounds checking (about 20 times slower)

\$ pex -b main.px

Traceback (most recent call last):

File "main.pyx", line 298, in main.main

__px__ndarray_int_get1(arr,"arr",n+1,'n+1') ## arr{n+1} | main.px,4 IndexError: Out of bounds index access "n+1"==11 for dimension 1 of "arr" which has length 10



Compilation Configuration



Compilation Configuration

Setup link with external C libraries inside your **file.px** %whencompiling: env.cc.append('-I../../vector/include') env.link.append('../../vector/vector.so')



Compilation Configuration

Setup link with external C libraries inside your **file.px** %whencompiling: env.cc.append('-1../../vector/include') env.link.append('../../vector/vector.so') Then bring in prototypes as usual cdef extern from "vector.h": ...





def func(ndarray<int 2d> arr):



def func(ndarray<int 2d> arr): %whencompiling:

scope.pragma_ndarray_bounds_checks = True



def func(ndarray<int 2d> arr):
 %whencompiling:
 scope.pragma_ndarray_bounds_checks = True
 arr{1,n+1} # THROWS EXCEPTION



def func(ndarray<int 2d> arr):
 %whencompiling:
 scope.pragma_ndarray_bounds_checks = True
 arr{1,n+1} # THROWS EXCEPTION

Turns on bounds checks



def func(ndarray<int 2d> arr):
 %whencompiling:
 scope.pragma_ndarray_bounds_checks = True
 arr{1,n+1} # THROWS EXCEPTION

Turns on bounds checks

Works by scope, so here pragma applies only to func()





 cdef classes opaque to Python



- cdef classes opaque to Python
- Pex generates <u>_todict</u> and <u>_fromdict</u> methods



- cdef classes opaque to Python
- Pex generates <u>_todict</u> and <u>_fromdict</u> methods
- Define in Pex, mod.px
 cdef class item:
 cdef int x,y



- cdef classes opaque to Python
- Pex generates <u>_todict</u> and <u>_fromdict</u> methods
- Define in Pex, mod.px
 cdef class item:
 cdef int x,y

From Python
 mod=pex.pimport('mod')
 x = mod.item()



- cdef classes opaque to Python
- Pex generates <u>_todict</u> and <u>_fromdict</u> methods
- Define in Pex, mod.px
 cdef class item:
 cdef int x,y

From Python mod=pex.pimport('mod')
x = mod.item()
x._fromdict_({'x':7,'y':12})



- cdef classes opaque to Python
- Pex generates <u>_todict</u> and <u>_fromdict</u> methods
- Define in Pex, mod.px
 cdef class item:
 cdef int x,y

 From Python mod=pex.pimport('mod') x = mod.item()x._fromdict_({'x':7,'y':12}) print x._todict_() **out:** {'y': 12, 'x': 7}




Pex has no parser, regular expression based



Pex has no parser, regular expression based

 Leads to annoying quirks, eg def func(a, # comment b):



Pex has no parser, regular expression based

Leads to annoying quirks, eg
 def func(a, # comment
 b):

Joined to def func(a, # comment b):, so syntax error



Pex has no parser, regular expression based

- Leads to annoying quirks, eg def func(a, # comment b):
- Joined to def func(a, # comment b):, so syntax error
- Also, no real type system



Off the Reservation



Off the Reservation

pointers (tool of the devil)

don't use them

don't think you need to

would like to prohibit them

structs (use cdef classes instead)





Luxuriate in Python decadence



Luxuriate in Python decadence

lists, tuples, dicts, itertools, anything goes



Luxuriate in Python decadence

lists, tuples, dicts, itertools, anything goes

most of the time



Luxuriate in Python decadence

- lists, tuples, dicts, itertools, anything goes
- most of the time
- Get down to the iron where it matters



Luxuriate in Python decadence

- lists, tuples, dicts, itertools, anything goes
- most of the time
- Get down to the iron where it matters
 - not much additional pain, lots of performance





Have enough performance



Have enough performance

INCREFd memory management - fast, good



Have enough performance

INCREFd memory management - fast, good

Compiler working hard not only OK, but what you want



Have enough performance

- INCREFd memory management fast, good
- Compiler working hard not only OK, but what you want
- With this setup, someone who only knows Python, can write C efficient code





Coredumps change feel of language



Coredumps change feel of language

completely



Coredumps change feel of language

- completely
- sleep worse



Coredumps change feel of language

- completely
- sleep worse

waste life chasing down horrific memory bugs



Coredumps change feel of language

- completely
- sleep worse

waste life chasing down horrific memory bugs
die younger



Coredumps change feel of language

- completely
- sleep worse
- waste life chasing down horrific memory bugs
- die younger
- taken away from essence of problem





Control coredumps



Control coredumps

Give up pointers, naked memory access (Hello Fortran!)



Control coredumps

Give up pointers, naked memory access (Hello Fortran!)
not as horrible as it sounds



Control coredumps

Give up pointers, naked memory access (Hello Fortran!)
 not as horrible as it sounds

have fast arrays, add in fast multiple value return



Control coredumps

Give up pointers, naked memory access (Hello Fortran!)
not as horrible as it sounds
have fast arrays, add in fast multiple value return
the only thing you give up: blitting



Control coredumps

Give up pointers, naked memory access (Hello Fortran!)
not as horrible as it sounds
have fast arrays, add in fast multiple value return
the only thing you give up: blitting
allows safe mode guaranteed to catch corruption





Runs within 3-4x times slower



Runs within 3-4x times slower

Guaranteed to catch any memory corruption



Runs within 3-4x times slower

- Guaranteed to catch any memory corruption
- Set a mask at compile time


Going Forward Safe Mode

Runs within 3-4x times slower

- Guaranteed to catch any memory corruption
- Set a mask at compile time
 - bounds checking



Going Forward Safe Mode

Runs within 3-4x times slower

- Guaranteed to catch any memory corruption
- Set a mask at compile time
 - bounds checking
 - uninitialized variable access



Going Forward Safe Mode

Runs within 3-4x times slower

- Guaranteed to catch any memory corruption
- Set a mask at compile time
 - bounds checking
 - uninitialized variable access
 - keeps track of object creation, detects leaked cycles





Pragma C_code_only



• Pragma C_code_only

Fast operator overloading



- Pragma C_code_only
- Fast operator overloading
- Fast multiple return



- Pragma C_code_only
- Fast operator overloading
- Fast multiple return
- Fast comprehensions: arr={i*i for i from 0<=i<n if i%2}



- Pragma C_code_only
- Fast operator overloading
- Fast multiple return
- Fast comprehensions: arr={i*i for i from 0<=i<n if i%2}
- Tool color codes source based on whether it's C or Py



Wishlist - Comfort

Now





Wishlist - Comfort

Now

Want

cdef ndarray<int,(3,4)> arr cdef int arr{3,4}



Wishlist - Comfort

Now Want

 $cdef ndarray < int, (3,4) > arr cdef int arr{3,4}$

cdef item x=item(arg1,arg2) cdef item x(arg1, arg2)







cdef item x=item(arg1,arg2) cdef item x(arg1, arg2)

And also want, efficient append to 1d ndarray





• 30 KLOC of Pex code (1.4 MLOC generated C)



30 KLOC of Pex code (1.4 MLOC generated C)

• 5 people actively using Pex, more soon



- 30 KLOC of Pex code (1.4 MLOC generated C)
- 5 people actively using Pex, more soon
- Business unforgiving, speed and quality essential



Status Availability

Python Software Foundation License (PSF)

 Works on Unix, Mac (all but coredump backtraces), Windows - probably close, but who knows

• Get

• tarball

manual TODO (72 pages)



Status Immediate Future

- Want to stop heavy development for a year or so
- Happy to help move any features into Cython proper
- Happy to accept any patches



Conclusion

Initial goal

A language that gets down to the iron, runs at C speeds, and has no surprises in generated assembly, but at the same time guides you along to a clear, succinct and correct expression of complicated systems and algorithms.

 We feel we are there, and are prepared to live with rough edges for awhile



Implementation Details Fast Numerics

cdef ndarray<int 2d> A

int *data = A.data
int st0,st1
st0 = A.strides[0]/sizeof(int)
st1 = A.strides[1]/sizeof(int)

data[st0 * i + st1 * j]



arr{i,j}



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px \ / \ AA.px AB.px



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px \ / \ AA.px AB.px

In Python could say Root.A.AB.ABA.func



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px \ J AA.px AB.px

In Python could say Root.A.AB.ABA.func In Pyrex, same thing!



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px / / \ AA.px AB.px In Python could say Root.A.AB.ABA.func In Pyrex, same thing! func could be cdef



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px \ / \ AA.px AB.px / / ABA.px In Python could say Root.A.AB.ABA.func In Pyrex, same thing! func could be cdef **Root** must know all prototypes of **ABA** at compile time





Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px | / \ AA.px AB.px*



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px | / / AA.px AB.px*

If **ABA** changes



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px | / / AA.px AB.px*

If **ABA** changes need **Root** recompile



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px | / / AA.px AB.px* If **ABA** changes need **Root** recompile must detect this before **Root** is imported, else it is too late



Root.px / \ A.px B.px / \ AA.px AB.px | | / ABA.px* If **ABA** changes need **Root** recompile must detect this before **Root** is imported, else it is too late

Must walk import tree in preorder

